

Administrative Science Quarterly

<http://asq.sagepub.com/>

Process Management and Technological Innovation: A Longitudinal Study of the Photography and Paint Industries

Mary J. Benner and Michael Tushman
Administrative Science Quarterly 2002 47: 676
DOI: 10.2307/3094913

The online version of this article can be found at:
<http://asq.sagepub.com/content/47/4/676>

Published by:



<http://www.sagepublications.com>

On behalf of:



JOHNSON
Cornell University

[Johnson at Cornell University](#)

Additional services and information for *Administrative Science Quarterly* can be found at:

Email Alerts: <http://asq.sagepub.com/cgi/alerts>

Subscriptions: <http://asq.sagepub.com/subscriptions>

Reprints: <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsReprints.nav>

Permissions: <http://www.sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav>

Citations: <http://asq.sagepub.com/content/47/4/676.refs.html>

>> [Version of Record](#) - Dec 1, 2002

[What is This?](#)

Process Management
and Technological
Innovation: A
Longitudinal Study of
the Photography and
Paint Industries

Mary J. Benner

University of Pennsylvania

Michael Tushman

Harvard University

This research explores the impact of process management activities on technological innovation. Drawing on research in organizational evolution and learning, we suggest that as these practices reduce variance in organizational routines and influence the selection of innovations, they enhance incremental innovation at the expense of exploratory innovation. We tested our hypotheses in a 20-year longitudinal study of patenting activity and ISO 9000 quality program certifications in the paint and photography industries. In both industries, the extent of process management activities in a firm was associated with an increase in both exploitative innovations that built on existing firm knowledge and an increase in exploitation's share of total innovations. Our results suggest that exploitation crowds out exploration. We extend existing empirical research by capturing how process management activities influence the extent to which innovations build on existing firm knowledge. We suggest that these widely adopted organizational practices shift the balance of exploitation and exploration by focusing on efficiency, possibly at the expense of long-term adaptation.●

Process management and its associated set of managerial practices and programs (e.g., total quality management, Six Sigma, ISO 9000) is perhaps the most important managerial innovation of the last 20 years (Cole and Scott, 2000), so much so that it has taken on aspects of a managerial fad (Abrahamson, 1996). Over this period, managers have perfected process methodologies and practices, even as scholars have explored the nature and boundaries of this phenomenon (Cole and Scott, 2000). The promise of process management is that focusing on variance reduction and increased process control will drive both speed and organizational efficiency (e.g., Garvin, 1988; Clark and Fujimoto, 1991; Harry and Schroeder, 2000).

The process revolution's initial focus was on manufacturing, but its reach has since extended into administrative, product development, distribution, and resource allocation processes in organizations (Garvin, 1995, 1998; Powell, 1995; Heaphy and Gruska, 1995; Cole, 1998). In particular, process management's potential to affect technological innovation directly has also increased, through programs like ISO 9001 and Design for Six Sigma, specifically concerned with extending process management techniques into product design and development activities (Harry and Schroeder, 2000; ISO, 2003). As the influence of process-focused activities increasingly spreads to areas of exploration or variation-creation in organizations, the question of how it affects technological innovation is increasingly important (Sutcliffe, Sitkin, and Browning, 2000).

Although Winter (1994: 63) observed that quality management may be "indispensable in the struggle for competitive survival," the emphasis on process management techniques and methods has taken place in competitive contexts that require a firm to be both efficient and innovative, to explore as well as exploit (March, 1991; Tushman and O'Reilly, 1997). As process management techniques celebrate variance reduction and control, they may accentuate incremental,

© 2002 by Johnson Graduate School,
Cornell University.
0001-8392/02/4704-0676/\$3.00.

●

We thank Francis Narin and Mike Albert of CHI Research for patent data and advice, and Jenny Chatman, Lee Fleming, Giovanni Gavetti, Charles O'Reilly, Nelson Repenning, and Toby Stuart for comments on earlier drafts. We are also grateful to ASQ Associate Editor Reed Nelson and three anonymous reviewers for careful reviews and helpful suggestions. Thanks also to Linda Johanson for excellent editorial guidance. An earlier version of this paper was published in the 2001 Best Paper Proceedings of the Academy of Management, Business Policy and Strategy Division.

exploitative innovation at the expense of exploratory innovation (Sutcliffe, Sitkin, and Browning, 2000; Benner and Tushman, 2003). While exploitative activities help firms learn and adapt quickly in the short term, those same activities may inhibit experimentation and exacerbate inertia and, in turn, impede organizational responsiveness to environmental shifts (Levinthal, 1991, 1997a; Repenning and Sterman, 2002).

Despite the ubiquity and endurance of process management techniques in practice and their potential to affect exploration, there has been little research on how these practices affect technological innovation. Most process management literature is prescriptive, aimed at practicing managers to promote the use of process management in organizations and citing the expected benefits of improved efficiency and profits (e.g., Garvin, 1988, 1995; Harrington and Mathers, 1997; Harry and Schroeder, 2000). Several empirical studies have explored the cross-sectional relationships between process management adoption and financial performance (Powell, 1995; Ittner and Larcker, 1997; Samson and Terziovski, 1999) but have found no conclusive evidence of the expected benefits associated with process management techniques. Ittner and Larcker (1997) found the use of process improvement techniques associated with improved performance in the auto industry but not the computer industry, while Powell (1995) and Samson and Terziovski (1999) found no evidence that the specific use of process improvement techniques affected financial performance. In addition, research shows that process management may result in paradoxical outcomes, such as poor financial performance following process-focused efforts (e.g., Garvin, 1991; Sitkin, 1992; Sterman, Repenning, and Kofman, 1997). These equivocal results highlight the importance of research into the contingent effects of process management on both short- and long-term organizational outcomes. While some research has begun to explore process management's potential to affect exploration (Sutcliffe, Sitkin, and Browning, 2000; Benner and Tushman, 2003), empirical work has not examined the effects of process management on technological innovation. We undertake that task in this paper.

PROCESS MANAGEMENT AND TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATION

Process management practices are the shared underlying component of a series of quality-related initiatives, including total quality management (TQM), the Malcolm Baldrige Award criteria, ISO 9000, and Six Sigma programs. Process management techniques focus on improving an organization's efficiency through high-level coordination of an organization's activities in a rationalized system of end-to-end processes. The process management philosophy and associated procedures, focused on rationalizing, coordinating, and repeating organizational processes, are a comprehensive problem-solving heuristic that is process-oriented, customer-focused, fact-based, and participative throughout a firm (Winter, 1994).

Process management techniques comprise three main activities. First, concerted effort is undertaken to map or docu-

ment routines that underlie the delivery of an organization's product or service across the organization (Hammer and Champy, 1993; Garvin, 1995; Harrington and Mathers, 1997). Routines are then subject to process improvement, aimed particularly at incremental change that rationalizes processes and streamlines interfaces and handoffs between interdependent organizational subunits (Dean and Bowen, 1994; Anderson, Rungtusanatham, and Schroeder, 1994; Garvin, 1995; Harry and Schroeder, 2000). Process management efforts rely on ongoing measures of process efficiency and effectiveness, such as improved yields, reduced waste and time to market for new products, and customer satisfaction, to drive continuous improvement efforts (Garvin, 1988; Hackman and Wageman, 1995; Powell, 1995; Cole, 1998). In an organization utilizing process management approaches, the customers of interest are not only the external customers of the organization's outputs, but also the internal customers at points of handoff between organizational processes (Hammer and Stanton, 1999).

Process management activities are often applied to new product development processes (Cole and Scott, 2000; Repenning and Sterman, 2002). Process efficiency and effectiveness for improvement efforts in new product development processes are often measured by time to market for new products and customer satisfaction. Customer satisfaction also involves internal customers, that is, owners of downstream processes. In this case, product development effectiveness would be gauged by how well it meets the needs of the internal customers downstream from product development, in manufacturing and distribution processes. The product development process, in turn, is the internal customer of the research activities and other processes upstream. A focus on continuous improvement in new product development is intended to alter the handoffs or inputs from upstream research activities. In turn, a focus on continuous improvements in efficiency in manufacturing or distribution processes will likely affect the outputs or handoffs resulting from the product development process upstream. Process management improvement efforts thus aim at streamlining the entire system of processes across an organization, through incremental improvements in processes and handoffs between them, guided by the immediate feedback of short-term measures.

Once processes have been mapped and improved, the last element of process management involves adherence to routines through the adoption of standardized best practices throughout an organization (Hackman and Wageman, 1995; Harrington and Mathers, 1997; Mukherjee, Lapré, and Van Wassenhove, 1998). This phase ensures that organizational processes are repeated, allowing for continued efficiency improvements.

Because they focus on adherence to routines, process management activities have the potential to affect an organization's technological innovations. Technological innovation is an important source of variation in organizations and, in turn, a root of organizational adaptation (Nelson and Winter, 1982; Tushman and Nelson, 1990). Technological innovation pro-

vides organizations with options for either initiating or responding to technological change. Dynamic capabilities are anchored in both exploiting current technologies and resources for efficiency benefits and in generating new possibilities through exploration (March, 1991; Teece, Pisano, and Shuen, 1997; Rosenkopf and Nerkar, 2001). Research in technological innovation has noted organizational tendencies toward local search and exploitation (e.g., Helfat, 1994; Sørensen and Stuart, 2000) and the role of stable routines in incumbents' inability to respond appropriately to technological change (e.g., Henderson and Clark, 1990; Christensen and Bower, 1996). More generally, work in learning and evolution has suggested that increased routinization and coordination in an organization's activities may speed responsiveness in stable environments but also contributes to resistance to change, competency traps, and inadequate or inappropriate responses in changing environments (e.g., Levitt and March, 1988; Leonard-Barton, 1992; Cohen and Bacdayan, 1994; Sull, Tedlow, and Rosenbloom, 1997; Levinthal, 1997b). Abernathy (1978) argued that as firms in the auto industry became focused on incremental change and improvement, they were increasingly unable to undertake more radical, variation-creating forms of innovation.

Exploitation and Exploration in Technological Innovation

Exploration and exploitation have been characterized as fundamentally different search modes (March, 1991). While exploitation involves local search that builds on a firm's existing technological capabilities, exploration involves more distant search for new capabilities (March and Simon, 1958; Weick, 1979). Exploitative innovations involve improvements in existing components and architectures and build on the existing technological trajectory, whereas exploratory innovation involves a shift to a different technological trajectory (Christensen, 1997; Rosenkopf and Nerkar, 2001). Empirical literature reflects this dichotomy, often distinguishing between innovations that leverage a firm's existing knowledge and innovations that rely on no previous firm knowledge (e.g., Sørensen and Stuart, 2000; Rosenkopf and Nerkar, 2001). For example, innovation researchers often distinguish between patents that cite one or more prior patents of the focal firm and patents that cite no prior patents of a focal organization. Implicit in such approaches is an assumption that truly exploratory, variance-increasing activities require distant search and a departure from a focal firm's store of current skills and capabilities. The idea of exploration as distant search can be extended by characterizing an organization's innovative activity along a continuum, measured by the extent to which it is anchored in knowledge used in a firm's previous innovations. Innovation is increasingly exploratory the more it departs from knowledge used in prior innovation efforts and, conversely, increasingly exploitative the more deeply anchored it is in existing firm knowledge.

Process management's influence on exploitation. Process management activities can influence technological innovation by affecting an organization's tendencies to build on familiar knowledge in its innovation efforts. There are two main mechanisms by which process management activities might

influence innovation in organizations: process management's effects could unfold both through incremental learning, as process management activities are increasingly applied to an organization's routines (e.g., Levitt and March, 1988; Lant and Mezias, 1992), and through its influence on the internal selection environment for innovation projects.

Process management as a system of incremental learning arises following the adoption of process management initiatives, as best practices are established and organizational activities are repeated in these standard processes. Process management specifically prescribes a focus on incremental change in existing organizational routines, and its accompanying practices support this philosophy (e.g., Adler, 1993; Anderson, Rungtusanatham, and Schroeder, 1994). Process management entails a view of improvements as controlled experiments that involve repetition of practices and measurement prior to making small, testable changes (Hackman and Wageman, 1995; Harry and Schroeder, 2000). Organizations collect and rely on measures of efficiency (e.g., time to market for new products) and quality (customer satisfaction) to guide improvement efforts. Christensen and Bower (1996) showed that among disk drive manufacturers, stable resource allocation processes that were focused on existing customer satisfaction channeled innovation away from architectural innovations for emergent customer sets. As process management creates an organizational focus on measurements to satisfy existing customers, it is also likely to channel innovation into areas that benefit existing customers and exploit existing knowledge.

Organizational learning research suggests that repetition of and incremental improvement in established practices results in both increased efficiency and proficiency in those activities (Levitt and March, 1988; March, 1991; Levinthal and March, 1993). Repetition through routines not only reduces the time to carry out the activity but also reduces the variance in performance of the routine, reflecting increased proficiency. Thus, as incremental learning associated with process management extends in an organization, the organization becomes not only more efficient in a set of practices but also increasingly reliable as the variation in its performance is reduced (March, 1991; Levinthal and March, 1993). Moreover, as process management activities apply directly to product development processes (Cole and Scott, 2000), this increased efficiency and reduction in variation associated with increasingly reliable processes directly affects the firm's innovations. Organizations will innovate more rapidly as they incrementally improve innovation processes, yet the variance in the resulting innovation and/or new product development outcomes will be reduced. Increased process management activities will be associated with enhanced incremental learning along a given technological trajectory.

The use of process management also provides an enabling structure that allows for more efficient horizontal coordination of activities toward a common organizational goal (e.g., Adler and Borys, 1996). Tighter coupling occurs with the application of process management activities to intentionally streamline the system of organizational routines against the dual objec-

tives of efficiency and quality. More specifically, tighter linkages emerge as efforts to improve downstream processes spur incremental changes in the outputs or handoffs from upstream, supplying processes. For example, focused efforts to improve manufacturing processes result in tweaking new product developments to better leverage downstream processes and spur continued measurable improvements in manufacturing efficiency and internal customer satisfaction. Such changes in the product development processes and outputs are themselves likely to be incremental, while, at the same time, the handoffs between the product development and manufacturing processes become more efficient and streamlined.

Increasing stability and reliability as it applies to systems of routines is an intended outcome of process management practices (e.g., Harry and Schroeder, 2000), and it emerges both as processes are repeated in best practices and as process management activities are used to coordinate linkages between organization-spanning routines. Efforts toward tighter horizontal coordination create greater interdependencies and interactions (Levinthal, 1997b; Siggelkow, 2001). Increasing congruence among organizational routines creates systemwide benefits of continued incremental change, leading to further stability and focus on incremental change. Such tightening of internal linkages and communication patterns also increasingly affects the types of technological innovations that can be produced (cf. Henderson and Clark, 1990).

Thus, while process management activities involve an explicit focus on continuous innovation and change, particularly at the outset (e.g., Winter, 1994; Hackman and Wageman, 1995), these practices trigger searches for solutions increasingly in the neighborhood of existing skills and knowledge and are likely to spur innovations that utilize existing or familiar knowledge. The ongoing repetition of sets of established best practices further promotes incremental innovation and change through experiential learning processes (Levitt and March, 1988). The behavioral consistency and reliability in the concerted efforts inherent in process management activities echo a strong culture focused on incremental innovation for existing customers (Miller, 1993; Sørensen, 2002). Stated formally, we predict:

Hypothesis 1: The greater the extent of process management activities in a firm, the larger the number of exploitative innovations.

Process management's influence on exploration. The first-order learning and tighter coordination associated with process management activities also actively prevents non-incremental innovation and change (e.g., Hannan and Freeman, 1984; Tushman and Romanelli, 1985; Sull, Tedlow, and Rosenbloom, 1997; Levinthal, 1997b). Activities associated with increased variation and uncertainty, such as improvisation (Miner, Bassoff, and Moorman, 2001) or brainstorming (Sutton and Hargadon, 1996) may be inconsistent with a focus on adherence to established routines and measures of increased efficiency and are therefore likely to be driven out in a process management context. Further, over and above variance-reducing pressures, process management's influ-

ence on exploratory innovations works through a second powerful mechanism: the use of process management activities in firms affects innovation selection by increasing the salience of innovation efficiency and effectiveness measures and the perceived payoffs of competing innovation projects.

Firms must continually balance the tradeoffs between prototypes or technologies that leverage product development skills and are rapidly commercializeable and those that lie outside the current dimensions of core capabilities (Leonard-Barton, 1992). Innovations that serve different customer sets or rely on new and unknown technologies are highly uncertain and difficult to measure (Henderson et al., 1998). Such exploratory activities are increasingly unattractive compared with the short-term measurable improvements in competency arising from exploitation (Levinthal and March, 1993; Christensen, 1997). While the benefits of exploitation are certain, positive, and close in time, the returns to exploratory activities, if any, are distant and uncertain (March, 1991; Levinthal and March, 1993). The short-term certainty of exploitation crowds out exploratory learning and innovation by triggering a reduction in investments in experimentation (Levinthal and March, 1993).

As an organization's routines are increasingly honed under the purview of process management activities, they become measurably more efficient (e.g., Sterman, Repenning, and Kofman, 1997). Innovations that leverage an improved system of routines have immediate and predictable benefits. For example, new product developments that rely on inputs obtained through efficient purchasing processes or that use distribution channels reached through streamlined supply-chain processes will show immediate promise against efficiency measures like speed to market. The benefits of exploitation become increasingly salient. Organizational cultures focused on measures of incremental improvement squeeze out more distant innovations in favor of further improvements in the existing capabilities and skills defined by existing routines (Sørensen, 2002). Similarly, a culture of continuous improvement may trigger conflict, mistrust, and increasing control, which, in turn, dampens exploration (Repenning and Sterman, 2002).

While process management may enable rapid development of competence-enhancing innovations, it may also create innovation traps that restrict exploration (cf. Levitt and March, 1988; Leonard-Barton, 1992). Process management's stated objective of controlling processes and "attacking variation" (Harry and Schroeder, 2000) contrasts with prescriptions for variation-increasing activities and redundancy designed to increase organizational variety (Burgelman, 1983; Nonaka and Takeuchi, 1995). Process management, in its philosophy and associated tools, facilitates tighter non-hierarchical linkages between routines and subunits, and the increasingly inertial system actively inhibits exploratory innovations that depart from capabilities defined in established routines (Hannan and Freeman, 1984; Sull, Tedlow, and Rosenbloom, 1997).

The short-term effects of process management activities on exploitative innovation also have longer-term ramifications for

Process Management

exploratory innovation. Innovative activities in a previous period can have important effects on an organization's options for future innovation by providing a knowledge base that can rapidly absorb technological competence from external sources (Levitt and March, 1988; Cohen and Levinthal, 1990). An organization that lacks exploration in one period may be excluded from areas of future exploratory activity by the lack of a relevant knowledge base (e.g., Cohen and Levinthal, 1990; Teece, Pisano, and Shuen, 1997). By the very nature of their underlying philosophy and methods, process management activities may drive out exploratory innovation. We therefore hypothesize:

Hypothesis 2: The greater the extent of process management activities in a firm, the smaller the number of exploratory innovations.

Our arguments above further suggest a "crowding-out" hypothesis (March, 1991; Levinthal and March, 1993). Because of powerful incremental learning effects and the selection environment associated with incremental innovation, organizations that adopt process management activities will favor exploitative innovation at the expense of exploration. Thus, we hypothesize not only changes in levels of exploitation and exploration in the context of process management activities but also a shift toward an increasing share of exploitative innovations at the expense of exploratory innovation:

Hypothesis 3: The greater the extent of process management activities in a firm, the larger the share of innovations that are highly exploitative.

In studying the effects of process management, a number of researchers have explored the impacts of total quality management (TQM) programs in organizations in theoretical and empirical work (e.g., Sitkin, Sutcliffe, and Schroeder, 1994; Dean and Snell, 1996; Easton and Jarrell, 1998; Staw and Epstein, 2000) and in case studies (e.g., Kolesar, 1993; Wruck and Jensen, 1994; Sterman, Repenning, and Kofman, 1997). But interpretation of studies of TQM programs has been hampered by heterogeneity in the practices actually adopted by organizations. TQM programs include many features that are defined in different ways by researchers (Hackman and Wageman, 1995), and it is unclear which practices organizations adopt and, specifically, whether the adopted practices involve mapping, improving, and adhering to processes. Even so, results from TQM studies are also equivocal. We have therefore focused on firms' use of ISO 9000. While the ISO 9000 program obviously represents only one of the myriad ways organizations engage in process management activities, it offers several advantages. First, since its initiation in 1987 by the International Organization for Standards (ISO), ISO 9000 has been widely adopted in industries like the photography and paint industries, which we studied (*Quality Digest*, 1999; ISO, 2003). Second, the ISO 9000 program's focus is on ensuring that organizations create consistent, stable processes through process documentation and adherence (Harrington and Mathers, 1997; ISO, 2003), making it a good measure of the process management phenomenon. Its motto is "document what you do and do what you

document" (ISO, 2003). Third, the considerable risk of obtaining inaccurate data about the actual use of process management practices from more subjective approaches is mitigated by a third-party audit and certification program, by registrars such as Underwriters Laboratories (UL). These registrars provide the data on firms' use of ISO 9000, helping ensure that organizations have undertaken at least some verifiable activities focused on documenting and adhering to processes. Fourth, these data allow us to construct a longitudinal measure of the extent of process management. The more ISO 9000 certifications a firm obtains over time, the more likely it is that it is increasing its focus on process management activities. In addition, minimal adoption of ISO 9000 for its legitimacy benefits (firms that deem themselves "ISO 9000 Certified" with one certification) is inherently revealed, as we can assess the effects on innovation, reflected in patenting activity, as an organization increases its ISO 9000 intensity. Patents are useful for measuring technological innovation, as they are only awarded to novel, non-obvious designs that represent advancements over existing technology. Researchers have argued that patent data are a reliable and valid measure of innovative activity (e.g., Griliches, Pakes, and Hall, 1987; Albert et al., 1991; Podolny and Stuart, 1995).

METHODS

We tested our hypotheses with two large-sample, longitudinal studies of firms in the photography and paint industries over the period 1980 to 1999. We selected the photography and paint industries for differences in their competitive contexts and to rule out single-industry effects. Information on the paint industry was taken from company reports available from Investext, in addition to several years of Moody's industry reports. Background information on digital photography came from investment research reports, Moody's industry reports, company articles available through searches, other publicly available information, and the *Future Image Report*.

The paint industry during this period was a stable competitive arena (Fredrickson and Iaquinto, 1989). It was characterized by intense cost-reduction pressures on paint manufacturers from large purchasers like Home Depot and Lowe's (e.g., Cerankosky, 1999). These cost pressures spurred continued incremental changes focused on improving efficiency. Moreover, technological change in the paint industry was incremental during this period. Beginning in the mid-1980s, in response to environmental regulations aimed at reducing emissions of organic compounds, the industry was forced to reduce the solvents used in paint. Firms in the industry focused on improving paint and process technology to allow the use of less paint and solvents. These characteristics suggest a stable industry characterized by incremental technological change (cf. Abernathy and Utterback, 1978; Tushman and Anderson, 1986; Anderson and Tushman, 2001).

In contrast, the photography industry offers an opportunity to test our hypotheses in the context of more technological change and uncertainty. Since the mid-1980s, the photography industry had been undergoing a technological change, from chemical-based film technology to digital (Tripsas and

Process Management

Gavetti, 2000), and had a high level of uncertainty. The period under study was an era of ferment, as multiple approaches to filmless, electronic cameras competed with each other and against film-based cameras (Tushman and Murmann, 1998). This was also a period of market turbulence, reflected in high uncertainty about customers' acceptance of digital technology, changes in distribution channels from drugstores and retail film developers to large consumer electronics chain stores, and ongoing concerns about rent capture (Trispsas and Gavetti, 2000).

Level of Analysis

Our level of analysis is a firm. Our sample includes single business firms and the particular segments of multi-business firms that operate within the two industries. We have made an effort to get data on patenting and process management pertaining specifically to a firm's activities within the paint industry or photography industry, that is, at the business-unit level. The advantage of our approach is that it enabled us to study a comparable sample of organizations participating in a particular industry. Although we analyzed firm activities and outcomes at the business-unit or business-segment level, however, there is only one business unit for each firm in our study, so we use the terms firm and business unit interchangeably here. In all cases we have in mind that firm's activities specifically in the industry under study.

Sample and Measures

We developed two longitudinal databases to test our hypotheses. We first compiled lists of organizations with any operations in the paint or photography industry, drawn from Dun & Bradstreet's Million Dollar Database, Moody's corporate index, and other sources in multiple years. We then used these lists to obtain our measures of patenting and process management. The resulting samples included 98 firms in the photography industry and 17 firms in the paint industry that had patents in the patent classifications related to photography and paint (discussed below).

Dependent Variables

Exploitative and exploratory technological innovation. We developed our dependent variable, measures of technological innovation, using data from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO). We constructed our data on patent activity using information from patents awarded from 1980 through 1999. Patent abstracts contain information about the assignee (the company that owns the patent), the three-digit patent classification, indicating the technology area in which the patent is granted (there are over 400 patent classifications), and the technological antecedents of the invention, indicated by citations to prior patents.

As our interest was in the activities of these firms as they pertain to the photography or paint industries, we worked with patent data experts to select patents in the technology classifications that represented the photography and paint industries. Our data for the photography industry were drawn from 12 patent classifications broadly associated with pho-

tography and imaging technology. These classes and their definitions are listed in the Appendix. A broad definition of photography and imaging is important to represent accurately the full range of patents pertaining to innovations in the photography industry. For example, patents pertaining to the development of digital camera technology often fall into patent class 348, television, rather than into patent class 396, photography. In the paint industry, we drew patents for the firms on our list from patent class 106, representing coating compositions.

We created multiple measures of exploitation and exploration based on the extent to which a firm's innovation efforts were anchored in its existing knowledge. Each patent abstract provides a list of citations to previous patents, that is, the "prior art" upon which the current patent builds. Using this information, we assessed the extent to which a firm's patenting efforts built on knowledge it had used in its previous patents. The prior patents cited by a patent were coded as existing firm knowledge if they were either repeat citations (patents the firm had previously cited) or self-citations (the firm's own previous patents). That is, we assumed that the extent to which a firm was drawing on its existing knowledge was reflected in the extent to which it cited patents that it had also cited in earlier patenting efforts or, in cases when a patent was cited for the first time by a firm, if it was the firm's own prior patent. With these data, we then categorized patents according to the proportion of each one that was based on existing knowledge and developed several patent count measures for each firm. At one extreme, the most exploratory patent category comprises patents that depart entirely from prior firm knowledge. In this case, our variable is the number of patents for a firm in each year having no repeat or self-citations. This measure of exploration echoes definitions used in previous literature (e.g., March, 1991; Sørensen and Stuart, 2000). We also constructed measures at the 10 percent, 20 percent, and 40 percent levels, represented as the number of patents by year for each firm that constitute 10 percent or less, 20 percent or less, and 40 percent or less, respectively, of the citations to prior knowledge of the focal firm. Thus, we captured a firm's innovation profile by assessing the number of patents in each of these categories, year by year.

At the other extreme, we constructed a similar measure of exploitation as the number of patents with 100 percent of their citations to familiar patents, that is, patents cited by the firm in an earlier innovation effort and/or self-citations. Similarly, our second exploitation measure, the 80 percent category, consists of the count of patents in each firm-year with at least 80 percent of their citations to existing firm knowledge, as measured by familiar patents. We developed similar measures at the 40 percent, 60 percent, 90 percent, and 100 percent level. These measures allowed us to construct a picture of the extent to which a firm's patenting efforts each year are more or less anchored in prior knowledge from previous innovation efforts. Further, it allowed us to examine how a firm's intensity of exploitation and exploration changed

Process Management

as process management activities were undertaken in the organization.

Our measures further improve on prior research by focusing not only on self-citations as measures of exploitation but also on repeat citations by a firm. Prior empirical work has been based largely on measures of self-citations, that is, whether a firm cites its own previous patents. Our measures provide better insight into the extent to which a firm's innovation builds upon or exploits familiar knowledge it has used in prior patenting efforts and does not restrict the definition of knowledge to the relatively rare cases in which a firm's patents have achieved the level of importance necessary for subsequent self-citation.

To link the patent measure to the time when innovation activity was undertaken in a firm, we recorded patents by their filing dates, rather than approval dates, as there may be arbitrarily long lags between filing and approval dates for patents (Ahuja, 2000). In addition, we used a one-year lead on the measures of exploitation and exploration to allow for some time between the process management activities and the innovation associated with the patent application, which also helps alleviate concerns about reverse causality.

Independent Variable

ISO 9000 certifications. We constructed our independent variable, the extent of process management activities, from third-party data on ISO 9000 quality program certifications for firms in the photography and paint industries. We were able to obtain data by business unit, as each certification includes a 4-digit Standard Industrial Classification code designation. For photography, we selected ISO 9000 certifications for the business units in our sample if they were in SIC code 3861, representing photography and imaging equipment and supplies. In some cases, the 4-digit SIC code was represented as 3800 or was missing. In these cases, we relied on the textual description in the certification's scope section and tried to include certifications that mentioned photography or imaging. For the paint industry, we selected ISO 9000 certifications for business units in our sample if they were in SIC code 2851. Often, however, only SIC code 2800 was listed, or the SIC code designation was missing. We again cross-checked the text describing the scope of the ISO 9000 certification for mentions of paint or coatings. We constructed measures of the extent of process management activities undertaken within a business unit as the cumulative count of certifications for a business unit by year. The number of ISO 9000 certifications for 1996 would thus be the sum of the certifications through 1995, plus the new certifications for 1996. Reflecting industry differences, firms in the paint industry were much more likely to adopt process management and had several times more ISO 9000 certifications than firms in the photography industry.

Analysis and Controls

To assess the relationship between process management and counts of exploitative and exploratory patents, we used a panel negative binomial model with firm fixed effects and

year controls. Negative binomial models are commonly used for patent data counts (e.g., Fleming, 2001), and a panel negative binomial design (longitudinal data on a panel of firms) with firm fixed effects provides several important advantages in eliminating alternative explanations.¹ In particular, it helps eliminate alternative explanations driven by differences between firms. For example, one could argue that larger firms are more likely to have both more exploitative innovations and more activities available for ISO 9000 certification. A cross-sectional regression would rely on the variation created by differences between firms in both exploitation and ISO 9000 utilization and, even with controls for size, would not differentiate between the underlying characteristics of firms and the “effects” of ISO 9000, while the panel data design with firm fixed effects and year controls would address this concern. The firm fixed-effects controls account for stable differences between firms, such as size or age; the model relies only on the within-firm variation in both independent and dependent variables. That is, given a firm’s size, age, tendency to exploit previous innovations, etc., a significant statistical finding in this model requires that increases in ISO 9000 activity within the firm be associated with increases in the firm’s level of exploitation, regardless of how much exploitation there was prior to ISO 9000 activities.

Panel designs with fixed effects and year dummies are particularly useful in correcting for omitted-variable bias, particularly if the potential control variables are not individually important but together are likely to have an effect (Hsiao, 1986). To accomplish this in a panel design, it is necessary to control for three types of factors (Hsiao, 1986: 25): (1) those that vary over time for firms and are different across firms, such as expenditures on research and development (R&D) or financial performance; (2) factors that vary over time but are generally invariant across firms in an industry sample, such as economic conditions or industry demand characteristics; and (3) factors that are relatively invariant within a firm and over time but vary across firms, such as organizational culture or management know-how. Our panel-data design controlled for the above factors with the variables described below.

We controlled for within-firm changes over time with measures of both total patent applications and total revenue by year. The patenting variable measures changes in firms’ investments in innovation activity but generally also captures changes in the general health of a firm from year to year. Results were the same when we used a two-year rolling average of this variable. We also obtained revenue data from COMPUSTAT and Worldscope for 43 of the companies in the photography sample and 7 companies in the paint industry sample. While patent application information could be obtained at the business-unit level, in most cases, we were not able to obtain revenue data for a particular business segment, so revenue data are at the firm level. Revenue data are frequently used to control for size differences across firms. In our model, however, differences in size across firms are controlled with the fixed-effect design. Thus, the revenue measure provides an alternative to the patent measure in control-

¹ We employed a negative binomial specification because patent count data are integer counts that take only positive values, and models with dependent count variables may be misspecified if estimated with OLS regression (King, 1988). Poisson regressions are often used to analyze count data (e.g., Ahuja, 2000), but such models are fairly restrictive and require the mean and variance to be equal. If observations within a year are not independent, the variance may be greater than the mean. If such overdispersion exists, negative binomial models will be better (Cameron and Trivedi, 1990), but pooling multiple observations over time for each organization violates the independence assumptions required for unbiased parameter estimates. This can be corrected by clustering data through random effects (e.g., Guo, 1996) or fixed-effects models. Here we used firm fixed-effects controls, as they offer other advantages in eliminating alternative explanations.

ling for within-firm changes in resources or year-to-year health. The addition of the revenue measure as a control variable did not change our results.

We controlled for factors that differ across firms but that are relatively stable over time within firms, such as management know-how or organizational culture, with firm fixed effects. Controlling for fixed effects results in a conservative model, as the variation in the dependent and independent variable arises not from the differences in innovation activity or ISO 9000 adoption between firms (i.e., cross-sectional differences) but from changes in both over time within each firm. Fixed-effect models overcome many of the questions that arise from cross-sectional statistical designs, such as whether firm differences (i.e., unobserved heterogeneity) are responsible for observed differences in both ISO 9000 adoption and patenting patterns. We also controlled for factors that vary over time but affect all firms in the industry, such as economic conditions or market demand conditions, with dummy variables for each year. This also controls for any spurious correlations that might result from the underlying time trends in increasing ISO 9000 adoptions.

Our model has additional analytical benefits beyond the panel-data design and controls that help further eliminate alternative explanations for the observed relationships. While ISO 9000 certification began in 1991 in the photography industry and 1990 in the paint industry, patent data are available back to 1980. This allowed us to assess a firm's patenting patterns prior to the start of ISO 9000 certifications. We arbitrarily chose a start date of 1985 for our analysis, but in checks for robustness, the use of other start dates did not alter the results. In addition, the sample includes firms with no ISO 9000 certifications. Thus, the study is a quasi-experiment, utilizing a pre- and post-test design with a control group (Cook and Campbell, 1979). This allows for stronger causal inferences than other approaches, as the effect of ISO 9000 certification activity on a particular adopter's patenting must be significantly different than the baseline of both that adopter's previous patenting activity and the patenting activity for non-adopters over the same period.

The panel negative binomial models (e.g., Guo, 1996) we used to test hypotheses 1 and 2 are represented by the following equation:

$$\log \lambda_{it} = X_{it}\beta + \sigma\epsilon_i + \mu_i \quad (1)$$

where $\Pr(y = r) = (\lambda^r e^{-\lambda})/r!$; y is the observed count and r is an integer; X is a vector of characteristics of firm i at time t ; and σ is a correction for overdispersion (i.e., for mean not equal to variance). The model also includes μ_i , a time-invariant firm i effect, which can be treated as either fixed or random; our models used fixed effects, which limits the variation used in the analysis to within-firm estimates. We ran these models using the *xtnbreg* command in the STATA statistical software, with the fixed-effects option. We ran the analysis on each of our dependent measures of patent

counts using several different exploitation and exploration measures.

Models to test hypothesis 3 required a different specification, as the dependent variable is not patent counts but the ratio of exploitative innovations to total innovation. Using this ratio as the dependent variable in a regression violates multiple regression assumptions, however, as this ratio is constrained between 0 and 1. An approach that remedies this operationally is to regress $\ln(p/1-p)$ on the independent variables. In this case, p is the number of exploitative patents, and the denominator, $1-p$, is effectively the count of exploratory patents. Results were similar using either approach. Here we report the somewhat more intuitive results, reflecting the wording of hypothesis 3, using the exploitation/total patents ratio as the dependent variable. Because the dependent variable was a ratio, we used cross-sectional, time-series (panel) OLS regressions. These models incorporate the same panel data designs and controls, including firm fixed effects, and are represented by the following equation:

$$R_{it} = X_{it} * \beta + \mu_i + \varepsilon_{it} \tag{2}$$

where R is the ratio of exploitation to total patents for firm i at time t ; X_{it} is a vector of characteristics of firm i at time t , including the cumulative count of ISO 9000 certifications and control variables; μ_i is a time-invariant firm i effect, which can be treated as either fixed or random, respectively, in fixed- or random-effects models (equivalent to a firm-level dummy in fixed-effects models); and ε_{it} is an error term. We used the *xtreg* command (with fixed effects) in STATA for these analyses.

In the photography industry, the initial sample included 98 firms and 64,000 source (citing) patents from 1980 to 1999, which cited another 388,000 patents. As revenue data were not available for many of the firms, the sample size was reduced when models included the performance variable. Thirty-five of the firms had at least one ISO 9000 certification (in any part of the organization), while 21 of the firms had at least one ISO 9000 certification specifically related to photography or imaging. The maximum number of ISO 9000 certifications for a firm was 21, and ISO 9000 adopters had an average of 5.5 certifications. There were 1,100 firm-years of data.

In the paint industry data, there were 656 source patents that cited another 4,685 patents. There were 17 firms in the sample; 13 had adopted ISO 9000. The maximum for any firm was 95 ISO 9000 certifications. The data included 250 firm-years. Table 1 shows summary statistics for the photography and paint industry data.

RESULTS

Photography Industry

Hypothesis 1 proposed that as firms increase their process management activity, exploitative innovation would increase, and the findings support this hypothesis. Table 2 shows

Table 1

Summary Statistics (by Firm-Year) for the Photography and Paint Industries				
Variable	Photography		Paint	
	Mean	S.D.	Mean	S.D.
Average patents	63.4	112.88	2.8	3.3
Number of exploitative patents				
based 100% on existing knowledge	14.36	32.4	.31	.60
based > 80% on existing knowledge	22.4	50.2	.43	.87
based > 60% on existing knowledge	35.4	75.1	.74	1.3
Numbers of exploratory patents				
based 0% on existing knowledge	19.3	24.8	.94	.87
based ≤ 20% on existing knowledge	24.8	31.6	1.02	.93
based ≤ 40% existing knowledge	38.3	51.1	1.66	1.7
ISO 9000 certifications				
Overall	1.5	4.2	12.8	20
For ISO 9000 adopters	5.5	6.5	20	22

Table 2

Panel Negative Binomial Models with Firm Fixed Effects for Effect of ISO 9000 Certifications on Counts of Exploitative Innovations in the Photography Industry (N = 37)*						
Variable	Patents based > 60% on existing firm knowledge		Patents based > 80% on existing firm knowledge		Patents based 100% on existing firm knowledge	
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
R&D intensity	.0024*** (.0002)	.0024*** (.0002)	.0025*** (.0002)	.0025*** (.0002)	.0026*** (.0002)	.0026*** (.0002)
Performance	.0037 (.0029)	.0057** (.0029)	.0082** (.0032)	.0109*** (.0032)	.0055 (.0036)	.0075** (.0036)
Year dummies (base year 1985)						
1986	.0685 (0.1280)	.0649 (0.1257)	.0843 (.1419)	.0799 (.1385)	-.0158 (.1453)	-.0192 (.1438)
1987	.2591** (.1226)	.2517** (.1205)	.2535* (.1362)	.2454* (.1330)	.1661 (.1389)	.1599 (.1375)
1988	.2578** (.1221)	.2462** (.1200)	.2161 (.1364)	.2020 (.1333)	.0840 (.1408)	.0734 (.1394)
1989	.2338* (.1230)	.2230* (.1209)	.2170 (.1362)	.2052 (.1329)	.0812 (.1404)	.0721 (.1389)
1990	.2458** (.1233)	.2333* (.1211)	.1611 (.1381)	.1479 (.1346)	.0600 (.1416)	.0491 (.1400)
1991	.1873 (.1252)	.1671 (.1232)	.0552 (.1416)	.0302 (.1384)	-.0594 (.1456)	-.0788 (.1442)
1992	.2591** (.1254)	.2079* (.1248)	.1730 (.1412)	.1037 (.1397)	-.0659 (.1484)	-.1187 (.1490)
1993	.5195*** (.1216)	.4421*** (.1228)	.4299*** (.1355)	.3296** (.1358)	.2700* (.1403)	.1941 (.1430)
1994	.3900*** (.1304)	.2796** (.1345)	.2967** (.1463)	.1510 (.1499)	.0887 (.1533)	-.0223 (.1600)
1995	.0772 (.1356)	-.0198 (.1373)	.0352 (.1497)	-.0900 (.1503)	-.1783 (.1567)	-.2704* (.1599)
1996	-.6052*** (.1523)	-.7629*** (.1609)	-.5266*** (.1628)	-.7349*** (.1722)	-.6568*** (.1707)	-.7996*** (.1816)
ISO 9000 certifications		.0225*** (.0074)		.0291*** (.0079)		.0210** (.0088)
Constant	1.4511*** (.1447)	1.4838*** (.1444)	1.2426*** (0.1578)	1.2928*** (.1576)	1.3348*** (0.1668)	1.3525*** (.1667)
Observations	374	374	374	374	374	374

* $p < .10$; ** $p < .05$; *** $p < .01$; two-tailed tests.
* Standard errors are in parentheses.

results from three of the exploitation variables. Models 1, 3, and 5 show the effect of the control variables on the three measures of exploitation, while models 2, 4, and 6 include the ISO 9000 variable. In general, with the exception of 1996, exploitative innovation was higher throughout the decade than in the base year, 1985. In addition, within a firm, exploitation tended to increase with increases in R&D intensity (measured by total patenting activity) and also generally with increases in firm performance (measured by revenue). Yet even after the exploitation favoring influences of firm size and health, R&D efforts, and the natural increases in exploitation over time and with firm age, increases in ISO 9000 activity had an additional positive and significant effect on the number of exploitative innovations. This effect was positive and significant regardless of the measure of exploitation. In general, the positive effect of process intensity on exploitative innovation became continually stronger and more significant with increases in the proportion of prior knowledge used in patenting. This trend continued beyond the 90 percent category (patents based on more than 90 percent existing knowledge). In model 6, employing the dependent variable comprising patents based 100 percent on existing knowledge reduced the strength and significance of the coefficient slightly, due to the reduction in patents that exactly met the 100 percent criteria. Even at this extreme level of exploitation, however, the effect of process intensity on exploitation is positive and significant. The results reported in table 2 include the performance variable, which reduced the size of the sample, as revenue data were not available for several firms, but results with and without the revenue control were similar in sign and significance.

Our exploitation analysis included a smaller set of firms than the full sample, reflecting missing observations in some years. Panel designs with fixed-effects controls eliminate firms with missing observations. To gauge the effects of dropped firms, we ran the model using random-effects controls rather than fixed effects. Random-effects controls also consider the clustered nature of multiple observations for each firm but do not eliminate firms from the analysis if they are missing observations. The less conservative random-effects models are consistent with the fixed-effects results in table 2. The inclusion of more firm-years accentuates the positive effect of ISO 9000 certifications on exploitative innovation. In support of hypothesis 1, then, within a firm, an increase in the extent of process management is associated with an increase in exploitative innovation.

We hypothesized that the greater the extent of process management, the smaller the number of exploratory innovations. Models 1, 3, and 5 in table 3 show the effect of the control variables on three measures of exploration: counts of patents based on no previous knowledge and those based on no more than 20 percent and 40 percent, respectively. In general, in contrast to exploitative innovation, exploratory innovation was lower in every year than in the base year, 1985, though the year dummy variables are often not significant. The last two years of the study have significantly lower levels of exploration than the base year. As with exploitation,

Table 3

Panel Negative Binomial Models with Firm Fixed Effects for Effect of ISO 9000 Certifications on Counts of Exploratory Innovations in the Photography Industry (N = 40)*

Variable	Patents based 0% on existing firm knowledge		Patents based ≤ 20% on existing firm knowledge		Patents based ≤ 40% on existing firm knowledge	
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
R&D intensity	.001*** (.0002)	.001*** (.0002)	.001*** (.0002)	.001*** (.0002)	.001*** (.0002)	.001*** (.0002)
Performance	-.001 (.003)	-.002 (.003)	-.001 (.003)	-.002 (.003)	-.002 (.002)	-.002 (.002)
Year dummies (base year 1985)						
1986	-.116 (.089)	-.115 (.089)	-.076 (.085)	-.075 (.084)	-.030 (.082)	-.029 (.082)
1987	.054 (.086)	.054 (.085)	.068 (.083)	.069 (.082)	.089 (.080)	.090 (.080)
1988	-.019 (.089)	-.018 (.088)	.030 (.085)	.032 (.084)	.094 (.081)	.095 (.081)
1989	-.018 (.090)	-.018 (.089)	.062 (.085)	.064 (.084)	.125 (.081)	.127 (.081)
1990	-.033 (.092)	-.031 (.091)	.047 (.087)	.051 (.086)	.101 (.083)	.104 (.082)
1991	-.170* (.097)	-.163* (.096)	-.030 (.090)	-.022 (.089)	.059 (.085)	.065 (.085)
1992	-.232** (.099)	-.208** (.098)	-.097 (.092)	-.072 (.092)	.007 (.086)	.024 (.087)
1993	-.191* (.098)	-.147 (.099)	-.022 (.092)	.023 (.092)	.107 (.086)	.135 (.087)
1994	-.194* (.104)	-.140 (.105)	-.082 (.097)	-.027 (.099)	.041 (.091)	.077 (.093)
1995	-.462*** (.113)	-.399*** (.115)	-.384*** (.106)	-.318*** (.108)	-.259*** (.099)	-.217** (.102)
1996	-1.696*** (.155)	-1.617*** (.157)	-1.576*** (.142)	-1.493*** (.144)	-1.469*** (.132)	-1.410*** (.136)
ISO 9000 certifications		-.020** (.009)		-.019** (.008)		-.011*† (.007)
Constant	2.675*** (.155)	2.702*** (.156)	2.684*** (.148)	2.715*** (.148)	2.590*** (.134)	2.604*** (.134)
Observations	383	383	383	383	383	383

* $p < .10$; ** $p < .05$; *** $p < .01$; two-tailed tests.

* Standard errors are in parentheses.

† One-tailed test.

exploratory innovations increased as firms patented more. Increases from year to year in firm size or health did not have a significant effect on a firm's tendency to explore. Over and above these controls, our results indicate that increased ISO 9000 certifications were associated with a decrease in exploratory innovations (see models 2, 4, and 6 in table 3). This negative result is particularly significant for the most variance-increasing forms of innovation. Increased process management was associated with a significant decline in the number of patents that were based entirely on knowledge new to the firm. This result continued up to patents with 30 percent of their citations to existing firm knowledge. The negative effect remained and was marginally significant at $p < .10$ in a one-tailed test for patents below the 40-percent cutoff and continued to be negative but not significant up to the 60-percent cutoff. Thus, the turning point appears to be at nearly 40 percent. For the results in table 3, the revenue control reduced the size of the sample and also increased the

significance of the result, suggesting that these tendencies may be stronger for larger, public firms.

We also ran each of the models to test hypotheses 1 and 2 using a panel Poisson approach, rather than the negative binomial models. Due to overdispersion, Poisson models can often inflate t-statistics (Guo, 1996), and as expected, Poisson models produced coefficients similar in sign but stronger in their statistical significance. Thus, as predicted, within a firm, an increase in the extent of process management activity is associated with significant decreases in exploratory innovation. The effect is strongest for the most exploratory patents but also extends to moderately exploratory innovations.

Hypothesis 3 predicted that the greater the extent of process management activities, the larger exploitation’s share of a firm’s total innovation. Our results are shown in table 4. The

Table 4

Panel OLS Models with Firm Fixed Effects for Effect of ISO 9000 Certifications on Exploitation’s Share of Innovation in the Photography Industry (N = 40)*						
	Share of patents based > 60% on existing firm knowledge		Share of patents based > 80% on existing firm knowledge		Share of patents based 100% on existing firm knowledge	
Variable	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
Average R&D intensity	.0006*** (.0001)	.0006*** (.0001)	.0005*** (.0001)	.0004*** (.0001)	.0003*** (.0001)	.0003*** (.0001)
Performance	.0001 (.0009)	.0003 (.0009)	.0005 (.0008)	.0007 (.0008)	.0001 (.0006)	.0002 (.0006)
Year dummies (base year 1985)						
1986	.0078 (.0259)	.0081 (.0258)	.0071 (.0229)	.0076 (.0227)	.0035 (.0187)	.0037 (.0187)
1987	.0214 (.0259)	.0220 (.0258)	.0102 (.0229)	.0109 (.0226)	.0016 (.0187)	.0019 (.0187)
1988	.0279 (.0266)	.0289 (.0265)	.0103 (.0235)	.0115 (.0233)	.0013 (.0192)	.0019 (.0192)
1989	.0199 (.0267)	.0213 (.0266)	.0168 (.0236)	.0185 (.0234)	.0012 (.0193)	.0020 (.0192)
1990	.0117 (.0270)	.0130 (.0269)	-.0148 (.0238)	-.0133 (.0236)	-.0133 (.0195)	-.0126 (.0195)
1991	-.0207 (.0272)	-.0203 (.0271)	-.0305 (.0240)	-.0300 (.0238)	-.0225 (.0196)	-.0223 (.0196)
1992	.0288 (.0272)	.0262 (.0271)	.0189 (.0241)	.0157 (.0239)	-.0046 (.0197)	-.0061 (.0197)
1993	.0456* (.0272)	.0399 (.0273)	.0006 (.0241)	-.0063 (.0240)	-.0072 (.0197)	-.0104 (.0197)
1994	.0474* (.0280)	.0387 (.0282)	.0062 (.0247)	-.0045 (.0248)	-.0099 (.0202)	-.0149 (.0204)
1995	.0347 (.0288)	.0241 (.0292)	.0217 (.0254)	.0088 (.0256)	.0065 (.0208)	.0005 (.0211)
1996	.1242*** (.0292)	.1114*** (.0298)	.0826*** (.0258)	.0668** (.0262)	.0462** (.0211)	.0388* (.0216)
ISO 9000 certifications		.0046* (.0024)		.0056*** (.0021)		.0026*† (.0017)
Constant	.1697*** (.0204)	.1729*** (.0204)	.0937*** (.0180)	.0977*** (.0179)	.0767*** (.0147)	.0785*** (.0148)
Observations	374	374	374	374	374	374
R-squared	.25	.26	.21	.22	.11	.12

* $p < .10$; ** $p < .05$; *** $p < .01$; two-tailed tests.
 * Standard errors are in parentheses.
 † One-tailed test.

dependent variable in these models is the ratio of exploitative innovation to total innovation for a firm. In addition, we calculated this ratio with three different measures of exploitation: patents based > 60 percent on existing knowledge, > 80 percent on existing knowledge, and 100 percent on existing knowledge. Models 1, 3, and 5 in table 4 show the effects of the control variables on the exploitation ratios. Exploitation garnered a larger share of innovation as a firm increased its patenting activity, but the ratio did not increase significantly across years. Models 2, 4, and 6 add the ISO 9000 variable. In support of hypothesis 3, these results show that increases in process management activity in a firm are associated with increases in exploitation's share of the total amount of innovation. While this result was less significant for less exploitative innovations (60 percent, model 2, at $p < .10$), the effect becomes strongly significant as the innovations become increasingly exploitative (80 percent, model 6, at $p < .01$). Again, similar to model 1, the significance and strength of the coefficient continues up to about the 90-percent cutoff level. The most extreme exploitation case (model 6) restricts the dependent variable to only those patents based 100 percent on familiar knowledge. The reduction in significance likely results from a smaller number of patents meeting this criterion.

Increases in process management activities appear not only to increase exploitative patents but also trigger a shift toward more exploitative patents, that is, patents with a higher proportion of previously used knowledge. These effects exist even after controlling for fixed effects, such as age or size, year effects, R&D intensity over time, and increases in exploitation that occur over time and with age. In the photography industry, our results indicate that as process management increases, exploitation garners an ever-larger share of the firm's patenting activity. It appears that process management activities crowd out more exploratory, experimental forms of innovation.

Paint Industry

Table 5 shows the results of the panel negative binomial models used to test hypothesis 1 for the paint industry data. Models 1, 3, and 5 show the results for the effect of control variables on the three categories of exploitative innovation. In contrast to photography, the controls have no consistent effects on exploitative innovation, though in general, the year and revenue variables are negatively associated with exploitative innovation. Models 2, 4, and 6 include the ISO 9000 certification variable. In support of hypothesis 1, process management activities are significantly positively associated with exploitation across the three models. Similar to the photography industry results, the effect of process management activities on exploitation becomes stronger for innovation that is more exploitative (e.g., model 4). Again, as in the photography results, this trend continues up to the 90 percent level, and the significance decreases slightly for the most extreme and restrictive form of exploitation (model 6). In the paint industry, as in the photography industry, increased process management activity is associated with increased exploitative

Table 5

Panel Negative Binomial Models with Firm Fixed Effects for Effect of ISO 9000 Certifications on Counts of Exploitative Innovations in the Paint Industry (N = 5)*						
Variable	Patents based > 60% on existing firm knowledge		Patents based > 80% on existing firm knowledge		Patents based 100% on existing firm knowledge	
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
R&D intensity	.0839 (0.074)	-.1217 (.1055)	.0810 (.1064)	-.4837** (.2255)	.0135 (.1298)	-.4976* (.2816)
Performance	.0083 (.0940)	-.0016 (.0969)	-.0919 (.1335)	-.1193 (.1651)	-.0726 (.1774)	-.1547 (.2337)
Year dummies (base year 1985)						
1986	-1.8545** (.8142)	-1.1909 (.8293)	-1.2929 (1.2095)	.2653 (1.4388)	-.9975 (1.2416)	.3119 (1.5809)
1987	-.9448 (.7454)	-1.487* (.7960)	-18.9057 (9,900)	-19.1604 (3,942)	-20.2281 (17,160)	-21.0507 (10,399)
1988	-.5236 (.6669)	-.8775 (.6987)	.1177 (1.0943)	-0.9079 (1.2611)	.0211 (1.2054)	-.6888 (1.4647)
1989	-.7797 (.7103)	-.3633 (.6726)	.4625 (1.1464)	1.0707 (1.2428)	.1003 (1.4438)	.9190 (1.5095)
1990	-1.1893 (.8605)	-1.078 (.8702)	.1966 (1.3672)	.1764 (1.6318)	.0942 (1.7299)	.2787 (2.0133)
1991	-.7979 (.7019)	-.4602 (.6789)	.5874 (1.1459)	1.5022 (1.2665)	.0332 (1.5065)	1.0899 (1.6684)
1992	-.8440 (.7170)	-1.368* (.7417)	.8888 (1.1192)	-.2401 (1.2679)	.8360 (1.4562)	-0.6686 (1.8058)
1993	-.9206 (.7075)	-2.145** (.8564)	.2640 (1.1853)	-2.784* (1.6008)	.5929 (1.4446)	-3.2620 (2.3272)
1994	-.7364 (.6486)	-2.367** (.9255)	.5298 (.9934)	-3.389* (1.7410)	-.6859 (1.4072)	-5.4440* (2.8096)
1995	-.8543 (.7512)	-2.3589** (.9617)	1.0136 (1.2088)	-2.3678 (1.7788)	.5243 (1.6786)	-3.9702 (2.8234)
1996	-.9573 (.6489)	-3.486*** (1.124)	.8649 (.9936)	-5.240** (2.2527)	-.3589 (1.5466)	-7.9076** (3.6526)
ISO 9000 certifications		.0609*** (.0206)		.1522*** (.0478)		.1714** (.0699)
Constant	16.53 (1,073.7)	17.86 (1,080.2)	15.89 (1,208.3)	19.37 (925.0)	17.16 (1,275.9)	24.06 (120.03)
Observations	42	42	42	42	42	42

* $p < .10$; ** $p < .05$; *** $p < .01$; two-tailed tests.
* Standard errors are in parentheses.

innovation; these results are generally accentuated for more exploitative innovation.

Table 6 shows the results of tests of hypothesis 2, that greater process management activities would be associated with less exploratory innovation. Again, models 1, 3, and 5 show the effects of the control variables on three categories of exploration. Models 2, 4, and 6 add the ISO 9000 certification variable. The coefficients on the ISO 9000 variable are consistently negative, as in the photography industry, but they never reach significance, failing to support hypothesis 2. Increases in process management activities do not appear to affect exploratory patents in the paint industry.

We also ran the exploitation and exploration models for the paint industry using panel Poisson specifications with fixed effects, and again, as in the photography industry, the positive results were stronger in the panel Poisson results. In the exploration models, the negative results were also stronger, and in one case (the most exploratory category of innovation,

Table 6

Panel Negative Binomial Models with Firm Fixed Effects for Effect of ISO 9000 Certifications on Counts of Exploratory Innovations in the Paint Industry (N = 6)*

Variable	Patents based 0% on existing firm knowledge		Patents based ≤ 20% on existing firm knowledge		Patents based ≤ 40% on existing firm knowledge	
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
R&D intensity	-.041 (.067)	-.025 (.085)	.004 (.055)	.015 (.072)	-.021 (.045)	-.008 (.058)
Performance	.164* (.085)	.166* (.085)	.094 (.069)	.094 (.069)	.141** (.057)	.143** (.057)
Year dummies (base = 1985)						
1986	-.068 (.752)	-.105 (.761)	-.491 (.719)	-.520 (.728)	-.835 (.533)	-.870 (.542)
1987	-.467 (.783)	-.430 (.793)	-.021 (.659)	.009 (.671)	-.944* (.527)	-.915* (.533)
1988	-.235 (.701)	-.237 (.701)	-.093 (.634)	-.089 (.634)	-.552 (.455)	-.549 (.455)
1989	-.509 (.713)	-.544 (.727)	-.130 (.631)	-.153 (.641)	-1.243** (.516)	-1.289** (.534)
1990	-.658 (.834)	-.654 (.836)	-.086 (.706)	-.083 (.708)	-.771 (.551)	-.786 (.554)
1991	-.805 (.748)	-.828 (.754)	-.561 (.667)	-.578 (.673)	-1.000** (.493)	-1.032** (.503)
1992	-.219 (.778)	-.170 (.796)	-.089 (.683)	-.060 (.695)	-.804 (.512)	-.775 (.520)
1993	-.610 (.855)	-.498 (.928)	.139 (.664)	.207 (.719)	-.709 (.505)	-.633 (.545)
1994	-.814 (.770)	-.687 (.871)	-.019 (.613)	.062 (.693)	-.696 (.467)	-.601 (.532)
1995	-1.216 (.897)	-1.068 (1.015)	-.589 (.746)	-.499 (.829)	-1.581*** (.602)	-1.480** (.662)
1996	-1.282 (.886)	-1.091 (1.084)	-.239 (.665)	-.108 (.850)	-1.046** (.514)	-.891 (.666)
ISO 9000 certifications		-.006 (.018)		-.004 (.014)		-.004 (.012)
Constant	14.839 (745.035)	15.215 (.000)	15.255 (785.339)	16.527 (.000)	15.885 (734.238)	15.771 (648.225)
Observations	44	44	44	44	44	44

* $p < .10$; ** $p < .05$; *** $p < .01$; two-tailed tests.

* Standard errors are in parentheses.

using the full sample of 17 firms), reached marginal statistical significance at $p < .10$ in a one-tailed test. Thus, although there is no evidence of a statistically significant effect of process management on exploratory innovations, the general patterns echo the results for the photography industry. The lack of statistical significance is likely due to a smaller sample of firms and patents than in the photography industry.

Table 7 reports results for the paint industry of tests of hypothesis 3, that the increased process management activity decreases exploration and tips the innovation balance toward increasing exploitation. Models 1, 3, and 5 show the effects of the controls on our three alternative measures of exploitation's share of total innovation, while models 2, 4, and 6 add the ISO 9000 variable. Increases in process management had a significant positive effect on exploitation's share of a firm's innovation when innovations relied more than 60 percent on prior firm knowledge. The results are not significant for the other ratio categories, likely reflecting very low numbers of patents meeting these more stringent crite-

Table 7

Panel OLS Models with Firm Fixed Effects for Effect of ISO 9000 Certifications on Exploitation's Share of Innovation in the Paint Industry (N = 6)*

Variable	Share of patents based > 60% on existing firm knowledge		Share of patents based > 80% on existing firm knowledge		Share of patents based 100% on existing firm knowledge	
	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5	Model 6
Average R&D intensity	.0422** (.0166)	-.0157 (.0241)	.0424** (.0150)	.0122 (.0248)	.0247* (.0139)	0.0101 (0.0241)
Performance	-.0358* (.0187)	-.0458** (.0163)	-.0477** (.0169)	-.0529*** (.0167)	-.0314* (.0157)	-.0340* (0.0163)
Year dummies (base = 1985)						
1986	-.1833 (.1481)	-.0625 (.1323)	.0140 (.1335)	.0771 (.1361)	.0750 (.1245)	.1056 (.1324)
1987	.0553 (.1499)	.1144 (.1289)	.0298 (.1350)	.0606 (.1326)	.0238 (.1260)	.0388 (.1289)
1988	.0362 (.1603)	.0060 (.1365)	.2481 (.1444)	.2323 (.1405)	.2011 (.1347)	.1934 (.1366)
1989	.2682 (.1728)	.3625** (.1502)	.4452*** (.1556)	.4945*** (.1545)	.3374** (.1452)	.3613** (.1502)
1990	.0765 (.1719)	.1938 (.1513)	.3086* (.1549)	.3699** (.1557)	.2265 (.1445)	.2562 (.1514)
1991	.1501 (.1630)	.2332 (.1413)	.3531** (.1468)	.3965** (.1454)	.1829 (.1370)	.2039 (.1413)
1992	-.0114 (.1829)	.0199 (.1557)	.3160* (.1648)	.3324* (.1603)	.2454 (.1537)	.2534 (.1558)
1993	-.0635 (.1910)	-.1750 (.1665)	.1812 (.1720)	.1230 (.1714)	.1706 (.1605)	.1424 (.1666)
1994	-.0085 (.1983)	-.1737 (.1775)	.2124 (.1787)	.1260 (.1827)	.1270 (.1667)	.0852 (.1776)
1995	.0277 (.1946)	-.1487 (.1757)	.3247* (.1753)	.2325 (.1809)	.1206 (.1635)	.0759 (.1758)
1996	-.0924 (.1800)	-.4155** (.1880)	.2196 (.1622)	.0507 (.1935)	.0677 (.1513)	-.0141 (.1881)
ISO 9000 certifications		.0141*** (.0048)		.0074 (.0049)		.0036 (.0048)
Constant	0.4300** (.1552)	.7400*** (.1685)	.2407 (.1399)	.4026** (.1734)	.1840 (.1304)	.2625 (.1686)
Observations	39	39	39	39	39	39
R-squared	.38	.58	.47	.53	.35	.37

* $p < .10$; ** $p < .05$; *** $p < .01$; two-tailed tests.

* Standard errors are in parentheses.

ria. Thus, while the effect is not as strong as in the photography industry, in the paint industry, increases in process management activity are also associated with increases in the share of more exploitative innovations. These effects are found most strongly in innovations that are quite exploitative of previous firm knowledge. The results suggest that in both the paint and photography industries, as process management activities increase, exploitation increases at the expense of exploratory innovations.

DISCUSSION

Organizational evolution and learning literatures suggest that as organizational routines become entrenched and are repeated, organizations tend to exploit existing knowledge and capabilities, possibly crowding out variance-increasing, exploratory activities (e.g., March, 1991; Levinthal, 1997b; Repenning and Sterman, 2002). Our research, based on a

longitudinal study of business units in the photography and paint industries, supports and extends these ideas. Research in these streams has relied on computer simulations (e.g., Levinthal, 1997b) or age and size (e.g., Sørensen and Stuart, 2000) as proxies of the extent of bureaucratic routinization that creates inertia and leads organizations to exploit existing knowledge. Our work goes beyond prior research by incorporating measures of managerial practices that are comparable across firms and that may directly contribute to greater routinization and create innovation traps. Our results indicate that process management activities spur exploitation over and above the natural tendencies that unfold with age and size. These results suggest that it is not organizational age or size per se, but routinization that gives rise to increasingly exploitative behavior. This paper, then, highlights the importance of going beyond the proxy measures of age and size to pinpointing the specific organizational practices that are the roots of inertia.

Our measures of exploitation and exploration also go beyond existing research. Whereas much of the research on exploration uses dichotomous measures of exploration, we have measured exploitative and exploratory innovation along a continuum. These more comprehensive methods provide fine-grained measures of exploration and exploitation. Our findings using these measures suggest that notions of exploration as extremely distant search, departing entirely from existing organizational knowledge, should be modified. While we found that organizations had difficulty retaining the most exploratory forms of innovation in the face of rapid exploitation, we also found that this challenge persisted even as the innovations became quite exploitative. In the photography industry, even innovations that leveraged existing knowledge (innovations that relied up to nearly 40 percent on knowledge used in prior innovations) were squeezed out in favor of extremely exploitative innovations, those based 80 percent or more on knowledge the firm had used in prior innovation efforts. These results suggest that firms' challenges in maintaining exploration may be more difficult than previously suggested. Organizations face a challenge in sustaining highly risky, distant search and exploration into new domains, but it appears that this challenge extends even to sustaining moderately exploratory innovations that leverage existing organizational knowledge. In addition, process management activities and the culture associated with exploitation appear to drive extremely local search and exploitation based almost entirely on familiar knowledge. It may be that the combination of learning and selection effects drives more incremental innovation and stunts the firm's ability to acquire new competencies (Gatignon et al., 2002).

Our empirical design also provides a robust test of hypotheses relating process management activities and technological innovation. The panel study design includes a pre- and post-test quasi-experiment and relies on longitudinal data and within-firm variation to assess the effects of process management on innovation. This design helps control for confounds that arise from comparisons between firms, which cannot easily distinguish unobserved factors that influence

adoption of process management from factors that influence patenting behavior. Further, constraining each part of our study to a single competitive context helps mitigate concerns that arise from differences in patenting practices between industries (e.g., Ahuja, 2000). In addition, we minimized the threat of reverse causality by assessing the effects of increases in ISO 9000 activity on innovation activity in a subsequent period.

Our results suggest that activities focused on exploitation, in this case, the recent wave of attention to process management, do tend to spur technological innovations that exploit existing firm knowledge. Moreover, increases in process management activities not only led to increases in exploitative innovation, they also shifted the balance of innovative activities toward an increasing share of exploitative innovations. These effects were found in both industries despite marked differences in their levels of market uncertainty and technological turbulence and in the differential tendency of firms in those industries to adopt process management practices.

Our results were particularly strong in the photography industry. Patenting in general increased over this period, and specifically, patenting anchored in existing firm knowledge also increased. A greater process management focus within an organization drove even greater increases in both exploitative patents and exploitation's share of total patents above and beyond the general effects of time, firm health, and tendency to patent. Evidently, process management helps firms build on prior practices and knowledge and facilitates exploitative innovation, particularly the most exploitative forms. Independent of firm size, age, and other characteristics, however, increased process management activities in a firm were also associated with significant decreases in its most exploratory forms of innovation. In a turbulent industry, this inverse association between process intensity and exploration could directly affect a firm's life chances. Our results also support a crowding-out argument, as process management activities also appear to spur exploitation at the expense of exploration and increase the share of a firm's innovations that are exploitative.

Results were generally weaker in our smaller sample of paint industry firms. But even in this smaller sample of firms and patents, we found evidence strikingly similar to the photography industry. Increases in process management activities were associated with increases in exploitative innovation. Although we did not find a significant negative effect of more extensive process management activities on exploratory innovation, the signs on the coefficient were consistently negative, and the coefficient was larger at more extreme levels of exploration, as in the photography industry. Nevertheless, we found that exploitation's share of innovation also increased in the paint industry with increases in process management. The positive relationship was most significant for patents that relied 60 percent or more on existing firm knowledge. While this result was weaker than in the photography industry sample, it again suggests a crowding-out effect. Thus, even in this stable industry, exploitation increas-

ingly dominates with increases in process management activities, measured both by increases in the number of exploitative patents and the increasing share of exploitative patents.

While we have found some consistency in the effects of process management activities on innovation outcomes, these effects may have different impacts on other organizational outcomes. While the increase in exploitative innovations was consistent across industries, the subsequent effect of increased exploitation may have different implications for firm performance in these industries. In the photography industry, the dampening effect of process management on exploratory innovation may have implications for adaptation to subsequent transitions in technology. For example, the recent troubles at Polaroid and Kodak in responding to the digital revolution in photography may be linked to organizational inertia rooted in their attempts to exploit film expertise (Tripsas and Gavetti, 2000). Process management practices are aimed at helping organizations adapt, yet their possibly unintended effects on reducing variation or increasing inertia may impede adaptation to environmental shifts and increase the importance of selection processes in organizational outcomes (Singh, House, and Tucker, 1986; Levinthal, 1991).

In contrast, rapid exploitation may be functional for organizations when environments are stable, such as in the paint industry. Tighter linkages between organizational routines and a focus on incremental innovation help speed commercialization of innovations in stable or incrementally changing contexts. Yet such an innovation trajectory reduces technical variation and stunts a firm's absorptive capacity (Cohen and Levinthal, 1990). Such consequences of process management activities might slow responsiveness to technological transitions and reduce a firm's life chances at these junctures. Assessing process management's influence on innovation activity is a first step in exploring its impact on organizational outcomes. Subsequent research on the effects of process management activities on organizational outcomes may help untangle the equivocal empirical results and help determine the contingent effects of process management activities on both innovation and organizational outcomes (Sutcliffe, Sitkin, and Browning, 2000; Benner, 2003; Benner and Tushman, 2003).

Institutional pressures play a role in process management adoption, particularly in recent forms of process management, such as the ISO 9000 program (Guillén, Guler, and MacPherson, 2002), and for later adopters of a process management initiative, who may do so increasingly for legitimacy (Westphal, Gulati, and Shortell, 1997; Zbaracki, 1998). These coercive pressures, for example, from powerful buying organizations or in response to government regulations, may cause firms to adopt such practices in contexts in which a focus on exploitation and lack of exploration is quite harmful. The growing need to demonstrate adoption and use of process management activities may be more akin to the "coercive bureaucracy" that Adler and Borys (1996) described, which stifles innovation and promotes inertia. Our findings suggest a trend in this direction—the type of innovation enabled by process management appears to be the most

exploitative. Further, while some firms may adopt process management intentionally to enhance efficient horizontal coordination and actively leverage existing capabilities (Adler and Borys, 1996), the increasing importance of isomorphic pressures in adoption also suggests that, at least for some firms, the outcomes of process management may be unintended or undesired.

Finally, our research has implications for practice. Managers must exercise care against the great institutional pressures pushing process management activities (e.g., Cole and Scott, 2000). The certainty of exploitation and incremental innovation, so functional in more certain strategic contexts, may drive process management activities into organizational domains that require variation. Senior managers who effectively explore and exploit may do so through concerted efforts to buffer variation-creating innovations from process management activities (e.g., Tushman and O'Reilly, 1997). It may be that senior leaders can build organizational architectures that can simultaneously handle exploitation and the associated process management activities, even as they facilitate exploration in organizational domains that are shielded from process management activities. Such senior teams must develop cognitive models such that they can simultaneously operate across different selection environments and time frames (Tushman and O'Reilly, 1997; Gavetti and Levinthal, 2000; Tripsas and Gavetti, 2000).

Even as organizations are exhorted to innovate in times of rapid technological change, process management activities focused on mapping, incrementally improving, and adhering to organizational processes have been widely adopted. These activities aimed at refining and stabilizing processes may be in conflict with exploratory innovation required for adaptation as environments change. This research contributes to understanding how a pervasive organizational metaprocess, in this case, process management activities, affects technological innovation. Evidence from our large-sample longitudinal study in the photography and paint industries over a twenty-year period indicates that increasing the use of process management activities tips the innovation balance toward exploitation at the expense of exploration. Process management heuristics may indeed enhance short-term effectiveness even as they contribute to inertia and, in turn, dampen environmental responsiveness. These results suggest caution in adopting process management programs as well as a more nuanced approach to creating organizations that can celebrate both variance reduction in the service of exploitation and variance creation in the service of exploration. Finally, these results highlight the benefits of more fine-grained measures of exploitation and exploratory innovation as well as suggest that subsequent work might profitably explore the relations among organizational designs, process management activities, and organizational outcomes.

REFERENCES

- Abernathy, W. J.**
1978 *The Productivity Dilemma*. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Abernathy, W. J., and J. M. Utterback**
1978 "Patterns of industrial innovation." *Technology Review*, 80: 40–47.
- Abrahamson, E.**
1996 "Management fashion." *Academy of Management Review*, 21: 254–285.
- Adler, P. S.**
1993 "Time-and-motion regained." *Harvard Business Review*, 71 (1): 97–108.
- Adler, P. S., and B. Borys**
1996 "Two types of bureaucracy: Enabling and coercive." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 41: 61–89.
- Ahuja, G.**
2000 "Collaboration networks, structural holes, and innovation: A longitudinal study." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 45: 425–455.
- Albert, M. B., D. Avery, F. Narin, and P. McAllister**
1991 "Direct validation of citation counts as indicators of industrially important patents." *Research Policy*, 20: 251–259.
- Anderson, J. C., M. Rungtusanatham, and R. G. Schroeder**
1994 "A theory of quality management underlying the Deming management method." *Academy of Management Review*, 19: 473–509.
- Anderson, P., and M. L. Tushman**
2001 "Organizational environments and industry exit: The effects of uncertainty, munificence and complexity." *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 10: 675–711.
- Benner, M. J.**
2003 "Dynamic or static capabilities: Process management and adaptation to technological change." Working paper, Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania.
- Benner, M. J., and M. L. Tushman**
2003 "Exploitation, exploration, and process management: The productivity dilemma revisited." *Academy of Management Review*, vol. 28 (in press).
- Burgelman, R. A.**
1983 "A process model of internal corporate venturing in a diversified major firm." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 28: 223–244.
- Cameron, C., and P. K. Trivedi**
1990 "Regression-based tests for overdispersion in the Poisson model." *Journal of Econometrics*, 46: 347–364.
- Cerankosky, C.**
1999 "McDonald equity research report: The Sherwin-Williams Company." Cleveland, OH: McDonald Investments, Inc.
- Christensen, C. M.**
1997 *The Innovator's Dilemma: When New Technologies Cause Great Firms to Fail*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Christensen, C. M., and J. L. Bower**
1996 "Customer power, strategic investment, and the failure of leading firms." *Strategic Management Journal*, 17: 197–218.
- Clark, K., and T. Fujimoto**
1991 *Product Development Performance*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Cohen, M. D., and P. Bacdayan**
1994 "Organizational routines are stored as procedural memory: Evidence from a laboratory study." *Organization Science*, 5: 554–568.
- Cohen, W. M., and D. A. Levinthal**
1990 "Absorptive capacity: A new perspective on learning and innovation." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35: 128–152.
- Cole, R. E.**
1998 "Learning from the quality movement: What did and didn't happen and why?" *California Management Review*, 41 (1): 43–73.
- Cole, R. E., and W. R. Scott (eds.)**
2000 *The Quality Movement and Organizational Theory*. London: Sage.
- Cook, T. D., and D. T. Campbell**
1979 *Quasi-Experimentation: Design and Analysis Issues for Field Settings*. Chicago: Rand McNally.
- Dean, J. W., Jr., and D. E. Bowen**
1994 "Management theory and total quality: Improving research and practice through theory development." *Academy of Management Review*, 19: 392–418.
- Dean, J. W., Jr., and S. Snell**
1996 "The strategic use of integrated manufacturing: An empirical example." *Strategic Management Journal*, 17: 458–480.
- Easton, G. S., and S. L. Jarrell**
1998 "The effects of total quality management on corporate performance: An empirical investigation." *Journal of Business*, 71: 253–307.
- Fleming, L.**
2001 "Recombinant uncertainty in technological search." *Management Science*, 47: 117–132.
- Fredrickson, J. W., and A. L. Iaquinto**
1989 "Inertia and creeping rationality in strategic decision processes." *Academy of Management Journal*, 32: 516–542.
- Garvin, D. A.**
1988 *Managing Quality*. New York: Free Press.
- 1991 "How the Baldrige Award really works." *Harvard Business Review*, 69 (6): 80–93.
- 1995 "Leveraging processes for strategic advantage." *Harvard Business Review*, 73 (5): 77–90.
- 1998 "The processes of organization and management." *Sloan Management Review*, 39 (4): 33–50.
- Gatignon, H., M. Tushman, W. Smith, and P. Anderson**
2002 "A structural approach to assessing innovation." *Management Science*, 48: 1103–1122.
- Gavetti, G., and D. Levinthal**
2000 "Looking forward and looking backward: Cognitive and experiential search." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 45: 113–137.
- Griliches, Z., A. Pakes, and B. Hall**
1987 "The value of patents as indicators of inventive activity." In P. Dasgupta and P. Stoneman (eds.), *Economic Policy and Technological Performance*: 97–124. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- Guler, I., M. Guillén, and J. M. MacPherson**
2002 "Global competition, institutions, and the diffusion of organizational practices: The international spread of the ISO 9000 quality certificates." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 47: 207–232.
- Guo, G.**
1996 "Negative multinomial regression models for clustered event counts." In A. E. Raftery (ed.), *Sociological Methodology*, 26: 113–132. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Hackman, J. R., and R. Wageman**
1995 "Total quality management: Empirical, conceptual, and practical issues." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 40: 309–342.
- Hammer, M., and J. Champy**
1993 *Reengineering the Corporation: A Manifesto for Business Revolution*. New York: HarperBusiness.
- Hammer, M., and S. Stanton**
1999 "How process enterprises really work." *Harvard Business Review*, 77 (6): 108–118.
- Hannan, M. T., and J. Freeman**
1984 "Structural inertia and organizational change." *American Sociological Review*, 49: 149–164.
- Harrington, H. J., and D. D. Mathers**
1997 *ISO 9000 and Beyond: From Compliance to Performance Improvement*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Harry, M., and R. Schroeder**
2000 *Six Sigma: The Breakthrough Management Strategy Revolutionizing the World's Top Corporations*. New York: Currency.
- Heaphy, M. S., and G. F. Gruska**
1995 *The Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award: A Yardstick for Quality Growth*. Boston: Addison-Wesley.
- Helfat, C. E.**
1994 "Evolutionary trajectories in petroleum firm R&D." *Management Science*, 40: 1720–1747.
- Henderson, R., J. Del Almo, T. Becker, and J. Lawton**
1998 "The perils of excellence: Barriers to effective process improvement in product driven firms." *Production and Operations Quarterly*, 7: 2–18.
- Henderson, R. M., and K. B. Clark**
1990 "Architectural innovation: The reconfiguration of existing product technologies and the failure of established firms." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35: 9–30.
- Hsiao, C.**
1986 *Analysis of Panel Data*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- ISO (International Organization for Standardization)**
2003 ISO 9000 "One Two Three." <http://www.iso.ch>.
- Ittner, C. D., and D. Larcker**
1997 "The performance effects of process management techniques." *Management Science*, 43: 522–534.
- King, G.**
1988 "Statistical models for political science event counts: Bias in conventional procedures and evidence for the exponential Poisson regression model." *American Journal of Political Science*, 32: 838–863.
- Kolesar, P. J.**
1993 "Vision values milestones: Paul O'Neill starts total quality at Alcoa." *California Management Review*, 35 (3): 133–165.
- Lant, T. K., and S. J. Mezias**
1992 "An organizational learning model of convergence and reorientation." *Organization Science*, 3: 47–71.
- Leonard-Barton, D.**
1992 "Core capabilities and core rigidities: A paradox in managing new product development." *Strategic Management Journal*, 13: 111–125.
- Levinthal, D.**
1991 "Organizational adaptation and environmental selection: Interrelated processes of change." *Organization Science*, 2: 140–145.
1997a "Three faces of organizational learning: Wisdom, inertia and discovery." In R. Garud, P. Nayyar, and Z. Shapira (eds.), *Technological Innovation: Oversights and Foresights: 167–180*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
1997b "Adaptation on rugged landscapes." *Management Science*, 43: 934–950.
- Levinthal, D., and J. G. March**
1993 "The myopia of learning." *Strategic Management Journal*, 14: 95–112.
- Levitt, B., and J. G. March**
1988 "Organizational learning." *Annual Review of Sociology*, 14: 319–340.
- March, J. G.**
1991 "Exploration and exploitation in organizational learning." *Organization Science*, 2: 71–87.
- March, J. G., and H. A. Simon**
1958 *Organizations*. New York: Wiley.
- Miller, D.**
1993 "The architecture of simplicity." *Academy of Management Review*, 18: 116–138.
- Miner, A. S., P. Bassoff, and C. Moorman**
2001 "Organizational improvisation and learning: A field study." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 46: 304–337.
- Mukherjee, A., M. Lapré, and L. Van Wassenhove**
1998 "Knowledge driven quality improvement." *Management Science*, 44: S35–S49.
- Nelson, R., and S. Winter**
1982 *An Evolutionary Theory of Economic Change*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Nonaka, I., and H. Takeuchi**
1995 *The Knowledge-Creating Company*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Podolny, J. M., and T. E. Stuart**
1995 "A role-based ecology of technological change." *American Journal of Sociology*, 5: 1224–1260.
- Powell, T. C.**
1995 "Total quality management as competitive advantage: A review and empirical study." *Strategic Management Journal*, 16: 15–37.
- Quality Digest**
1999 Database of ISO 9000-certified companies. <http://www.qualitydigest.com>.
- Repenning, N. P., and J. Sterman**
2002 "Capability traps and self-confirming attribution errors in the dynamics of process improvement." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 47: 265–295.
- Rosenkopf, L., and A. Nerkar**
2001 "Beyond local search: Boundary-spanning, exploration, and impact in the optical disc industry." *Strategic Management Journal*, 22: 287–306.

Process Management

- Samson, D., and M. Terziovski**
1999 "The relationship between total quality management practices and operational performance." *Journal of Operations Management*, 17: 393–409.
- Siggelkow, N.**
2002 "Evolution toward fit." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 47: 125–159.
- Singh, J. V., R. J. House, and D. J. Tucker**
1986 "Organizational change and organizational mortality." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 31: 587–611.
- Sitkin, S.**
1992 "The road to hell: The dynamics of distrust in an era of quality." In R. M. Kramer and T. Tyler (eds.), *Trust in Organizations*: 196–215. London: Sage.
- Sitkin, S. B., K. M. Sutcliffe, and R. G. Schroeder**
1994 "Distinguishing control from learning in total quality management: A contingency perspective." *Academy of Management Review*, 19: 537–564.
- Sørensen, J. B.**
2002 "The strength of corporate culture and the reliability of firm performance." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 47: 70–91.
- Sørensen, J. B., and T. E. Stuart**
2000 "Aging, obsolescence, and organizational innovation." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 45: 81–112.
- Staw, B. M., and L. D. Epstein**
2000 "What bandwagons bring: Effects of popular management techniques on corporate performance, reputation and CEO pay." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 45: 523–556.
- Sterman, J. D., N. P. Repenning, and F. Kofman**
1997 "Unanticipated side effects of successful quality programs: Exploring a paradox of organizational improvement." *Management Science*, 43: 503–521.
- Sull, D., R. Tedlow, and R. Rosenbloom**
1997 "Managerial commitments and technology change in the U.S. tire industry." *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 6: 461–500.
- Sutcliffe, K., S. Sitkin, and L. Browning**
2000 "Tailoring process management to situational requirements." In R. E. Cole and W. R. Scott (eds.), *The Quality Movement and Organization Theory*: 315–330. London: Sage.
- Sutton, R. I., and A. Hargadon**
1996 "Brainstorming groups in context: Effectiveness in a product design firm." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 41: 685–718.
- Teece, D. J., G. Pisano, and A. Shuen**
1997 "Dynamic capabilities and strategic management." *Strategic Management Journal*, 18: 509–533.
- Tripsas, M., and G. Gavetti**
2000 "Capabilities, cognition, and inertia: Evidence from digital imaging." *Strategic Management Journal*, 21: 1147–1161.
- Tushman, M. L., and P. Anderson**
1986 "Technological discontinuities and organizational environments." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 31: 439–465.
- Tushman, M. L., and J. P. Murmann**
1998 "Dominant designs, technology cycles, and organizational outcomes." In B. M. Staw and L. L. Cummings (eds.), *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 20: 213–266. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Tushman, M. L., and R. N. Nelson**
1990 "Technology, organizations and innovation: An introduction." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 35: 1–8.
- Tushman, M. L., and C. A. O'Reilly**
1997 *Winning Through Innovation*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Tushman, M. L., and E. Romanelli**
1985 "Organizational evolution: A metamorphosis model of convergence and reorientation." In L. L. Cummings and B. M. Staw (eds.), *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 7: 171–222. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Weick, K. E.**
1979 *The Social Psychology of Organizing*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Westphal, J. D., R. Gulati, and S. M. Shortell**
1997 "Customization or conformity? An institutional and network perspective on the content and consequences of TQM adoption." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 42: 366–394.
- Winter, S.**
1994 "Organizing for continuous improvement: Evolutionary theory meets the quality revolution." In J. Baum and J. Singh (eds.), *Evolutionary Dynamics of Organizations*: 90–108. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Wruck, K., and M. Jensen**
1994 "Science, specific knowledge, and total quality management." *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 18: 247–287.
- Zbaracki, M. J.**
1998 "The rhetoric and reality of total quality management." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 43: 602–636.

APPENDIX: Patent Classification Codes

Patent Class	Title
Photography Industry	
250	Radiant Energy
345	Computer Graphics Processing, Operator Interface Processing, and Selective Visual Display Systems
348	Television (many digital camera patents are included here)

355	Photocopying
356	Optics: Measuring and Testing
359	Optics: Systems (Including Communication) and Elements
360	Dynamic Magnetic Information Storage or Retrieval
382	Image Analysis
386	Television Signal Processing for Dynamic Recording or Reproducing
396	Photography
428	Stock Material or Miscellaneous Articles
430	Radiation Imagery Chemistry: Process, Composition, or Product Thereof

Paint Industry

106	Coating Compositions
-----	----------------------